

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Akkress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/764,289	01/19/2001	Hidetaka Fujita	500.39462X00	6362		
75	590 03/28/2003					
Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP Suite 1800 1300 North Seventeenth Street			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			ROJAS, BERNARD			
Arlington, VA	22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2832			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	I A	- 101 -			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-4			
		09/764,289	FUJITA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Bernard Rojas	2832	ld so s			
Period fo	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY		·	aress			
THE NO Failur - Any n	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this oc D (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	y. ommunication.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-3}$ is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)[] T	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examine	er.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	ty documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National S	Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		application)			
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provershowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.	арриодиону.			
Attachment(-				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of	Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 09/764,289

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Such as "a toggle mechanism for operating to rotate..." [claim 1 line 7], "when said moving contact is in contact with said fixed contact..." [claim 2 line 4, is applicant referring to the impact force of the moving contact being brought into contact with said moving contact].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kume in view of Kralik.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,289

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claim 1, refer to figure 6. Kume teaches a circuit breaker comprising a . power source side terminal member, a fixed contact, an opposing moving contact, a moving contact support, a coil [2] operatively connected to the moving contact, a load-side terminal member. An opening/closing mechanism including a toggle mechanism for rotating the moving contact support member so as to bring the moving contact into and out of contact with the fixed contact. A trip lever of a disengaging device [3] mounted on the yoke of the coil in the disengaging device that is separated from the fixed frame of the opening/closing mechanism.

Kume does not implicitly show the use of a fixed frame.

Kralik discloses the use of a fixed frame [70, 72, figure 7] in a circuit breaker to support the toggle mechanism.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the two teachings. Providing the circuit breaker of Kume with a fixed frame for the toggle mechanism would increase its strength/durability by providing solid support and decrease the amount of stress on the housing when the toggle mechanism is operated.

Regarding claim 2, the fixed frame is held by a case [Kralik figure 2] and yoke is held by a case. As suggested by Kume the frame and yoke are disposed at different positions such that the impact force generated by closing the breaker is transmitted to the case to prevent a mistrip.

Regarding claim 3, the fixed frame is supported by the case as shown by Kralik.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,289

Art Unit: 2832

Response to Amendment

Applicants arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the amended claims now pending since they necessitate a new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Harper teaches the use of a fixed frame to support the toggle mechanism and a yoke from the coil supporting the trip lever.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (703) 305-3873. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7-4:30), every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (703) 308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Br

March 21, 2003

elvin enad

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

3/24/03